UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA GREENVILLE DIVISION

Jacob Baker,)
Plaintiff,) Civil Action No. 6:12-cv-03154-JMC
v.	ORDER
Federal Election Commission,)
President Barack Obama, George W Bush,)
Mitt Romney, Virgil Goode, Jill Stein,)
)
Defendants.)

This matter is before the court for review of the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation ("Report"), [Dkt. No. 13], filed on November 14, 2012, recommending that Plaintiff's Complaint [Dkt. No. 1] in the above-captioned case be dismissed *without* prejudice and without issuance and service of process. Plaintiff brought this action seeking relief pursuant to Title 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The Report sets forth in detail the relevant facts and legal standards on this matter which the court incorporates herein without a recitation.

The Magistrate Judge's Report is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina. The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with this court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objections are made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the Magistrate Judge's recommendation or recommit the matter with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

6:12-cv-03154-JMC Date Filed 01/29/13 Entry Number 28 Page 2 of 2

Plaintiff was advised of his right to file objections to the Report and Recommendation [Dkt.

No. 13 at 5]. Plaintiff filed timely objections to the Report and Recommendation. [Dkt. No. 18].

Objections to the Report must be specific. Failure to file specific written objections to the

Report and Recommendation results in a party's waiver of the right to appeal from the judgment of

the District Court based upon such recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Thomas v. Arn, 474

U.S. 140 (1985); Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985); United States v. Schronce, 727

F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984). In the absence of specific objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and

Recommendation, this court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the

recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

In reviewing Plaintiff's objections to the Report, the court finds that Plaintiff's objections are

non-specific, unrelated to the dispositive portions of the Magistrate Judge's Report, or merely restate

his claims. Furthermore, the court finds the Magistrate Judge's Report provides an accurate

summary of the facts and correctly states the law in the instant case. Accordingly, this court

ACCEPTS the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation [Dkt. No. 13]. It is therefore

ORDERED that Plaintiff's Complaint [Dkt. No. 1] in the above-captioned case is **DISMISSED**

without prejudice and without issuance and service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

J. Michalle Childs

United States District Judge

January 29, 2013

Greenville, South Carolina

2